

# CS173 Lecture B, November 3, 2015

Tandy Warnow

November 10, 2015

CS 173, Lecture B  
November 3, 2015  
Tandy Warnow

# Problem 1

Prove (by induction on  $n$ , the number of vertices in  $G$ ) that every simple graph  $G$  can be properly vertex-colored using at most  $D + 1$  colors, where  $D$  is the maximum degree of any vertex in  $G$ .

*See textbook pages 129-130*

## Problem 2

Suppose you have an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  that solves the CLIQUE decision problem. Design an algorithm  $\mathcal{B}$  that solves the INDEPENDENT SET decision problem, and which satisfies the following constraint: Given input  $G = (V, E)$ , graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices, and positive integer  $k$ , your algorithm  $\mathcal{B}$  performs at most a polynomial in  $n$  number of steps, where each step is one of the following:

- ▶ Applying algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  to some graph with at most  $n$  vertices
- ▶ Assigning values to variables
- ▶ Numeric operations
- ▶ Logical operations
- ▶ Input/Output operations

Analyze the running time of your algorithm and explain why it correctly solves the problem.

## Solution to problem 2

Algorithm  $\mathcal{B}$ :

Given the pair  $(G, k)$  with  $G = (V, E)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  as input to INDEPENDENT SET, we do the following:

- ▶ We compute  $G^c$ , the complement graph, which contains the same vertex set as  $G$  and whose edge set contains exactly those pairs  $(x, y)$  where  $(x, y) \notin E$ .
- ▶ We run  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(G^c, k)$  and we return the same answer. Thus, we return YES if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  determines that  $G^c$  has a clique of size  $k$ .

## Solution to problem 2

Note that

- ▶  $\mathcal{B}$  takes  $O(n^2)$  time to compute the graph  $G^c$  (recall  $G$  has  $n$  vertices)
- ▶  $G^c$  contains exactly  $n$  vertices
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}$  applies  $\mathcal{A}$  only once, and does  $O(1)$  other operations

To see that  $\mathcal{B}$  is correct, we need to show that  $\mathcal{B}(G, k) = \text{YES}$  if and only if  $G$  has an independent set of size  $k$ .

## Solution to problem 2

$\Rightarrow$ : Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}(G, k) = YES$ . By definition,  $\mathcal{A}(G^c, k) = YES$ , and so  $G^c$  has a clique  $V_0$  of size  $k$ . Then note that  $V_0$  is an independent set in  $G$  (since edges in  $G^c$  correspond to non-edges in  $G$ ).

Hence,  $G$  has an independent set of size  $k$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Conversely, suppose that  $G$  has an independent set of size  $k$ . Then  $G^c$  has a clique of size  $k$ , and so  $\mathcal{A}(G^c, k) = YES$ . Hence by definition  $\mathcal{B}(G, k) = YES$ .

## Problem 3

Consider the algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  from Problem 2. Design an algorithm  $\mathcal{C}$  that takes as input a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and returns the subset  $V_0 \subseteq V$  that is a clique and has the maximum size of all cliques in  $G$ .

Given input  $G = (V, E)$ , a graph on  $n$  vertices, your algorithm  $\mathcal{C}$  must perform no more than a polynomial in  $n$  number of steps, where each step is one of the following:

- ▶ Applying algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  to some graph with at most  $n$  vertices
- ▶ Assigning values to variables
- ▶ Numeric operations
- ▶ Logical operations
- ▶ Input/Output operations

Analyze the running time of your algorithm and explain why it correctly solves the problem.



## Solution to problem 3

Algorithm  $\mathcal{C}$ :

If  $G$  has no vertices, return  $\emptyset$ . Otherwise, assume

$V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

The algorithm has two phases:

- ▶ Phase 1: Find the size of the maximum clique
- ▶ Phase 2: Use this information to find the max clique

## Solution to problem 3

### Phase 1: Find the size $k$ of the maximum clique in $G$

- ▶ For  $k = n$  down to 1 DO
  - ▶ If  $\mathcal{A}(G, k) = \text{YES}$  then *Return*( $k$ ).

In other words, we start with the largest possible size and we ask if  $G$  has a clique of that size.

If so, we return that value, and otherwise we decrease the value.

We keep asking until we find a value that algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  says YES to.

## Solution to problem 3

### Phase 2: Now find a clique of size $k$

We use the value  $k$  obtained in the first step. Let

$V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the vertices in  $G$ .

- ▶ Let  $G_1$  be a copy of  $G$ .
- ▶ For  $i = 1$  up to  $n$  DO
  - ▶ Let  $G'_i$  be the graph obtained by deleting  $v_i$  from  $G_i$
  - ▶ If  $\mathcal{A}(G'_i, k) = \text{YES}$  then  $G_{i+1} := G'_i$
- ▶ Return the vertex set  $V_{n+1}$  of  $G_{n+1}$ .

In other words, we keep deleting vertices that aren't required in order to have a clique of size  $k$ . Note that  $G_i$  is a subgraph of  $G_{i-1}$  for every  $i$ , which means that any clique in  $G_i$  is a clique in every  $G_j$  if  $j < i$ .

## Solution to problem 3

We know that  $G = G_1$  has a clique of size  $k$ .

Since we never delete a vertex if it would result in a graph that doesn't have a  $k$ -clique,  $G_i$  has a clique of size  $k$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ .

Thus,  $G_{n+1} = (V_{n+1}, E_{n+1})$  has a clique of size  $k$ .

We just need to show that  $V_{n+1}$  has exactly  $k$  vertices, and so is a  $k$ -clique.

## Solution to problem 3

We prove  $|V_{n+1}| = k$  by contradiction.

We know  $G_{n+1}$  has a  $k$ -clique  $V^*$ , and so  $|V_{n+1}| \geq k$ .

Suppose  $|V_{n+1}| > k$ .

Hence,  $V^* \subset V_{n+1}$ .

Since  $V^*$  is a proper subset, we can pick  $v_i \in V_{n+1} \setminus V^*$ .

Since we did not delete  $v_i$  when we had the chance,  $G'_i = G_i \setminus v_i$  does not contain a  $k$ -clique.

But  $v_i \notin V^*$  and so  $G'_i$  contains the  $k$ -clique  $V^*$ , contradicting our assumptions.

Hence,  $|V_{n+1}| = k$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  returns a maximum clique in  $G$ .

## Solution to problem 3

Running time:

Note that  $\mathcal{B}$  calls  $\mathcal{A}$  at most  $2n$  times (that is, at most  $n$  times in the first phase, and then  $n$  times in the second phase).

The number of other operations is also bounded by a polynomial in  $n$ .

Hence the running time is bounded by a polynomial in  $n$ , as required.

## Problem 4

Recall the all-pairs shortest path problem. Consider the following way of solving it. Let  $Q[i, j, k]$  denote the length of the shortest path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  that has **at most**  $k$  edges; if no such path exists, then set  $Q[i, j, k]$  to  $\infty$ .

Give a polynomial time dynamic programming algorithm to compute the matrix of pairwise distances, using this subproblem formulation. Make sure to clearly explain your solution and why it works. Analyze the running time.

## Solution to problem 4: Base cases

Let the input graph be  $G = (V, E)$  where  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and let the edge weights be defined by  $w : E \rightarrow R^+$ , so that  $w(e)$  is the weight of edge  $e$ .

We let  $i, j$  range from 1 to  $n$ , and  $k$  range from 1 to  $n - 1$ .

We let  $Cost(P)$  denote the cost of path  $P$  (which is the sum of the weights of the edges in  $P$ ).

The base cases are  $k = 1$ .

- ▶ If  $i = j$  we set  $Q[i, j, 1] = 0$ .
- ▶ If  $i \neq j$  and  $(v_i, v_j) \in E$ , we set  $Q[i, j, 1] = w(v_i, v_j)$ .
- ▶ If  $i \neq j$  and  $(v_i, v_j) \notin E$ , we set  $Q[i, j, 1] = \infty$



## Solution to problem 4: Recursion

For  $k > 1$  we use the following recursion:

$$\blacktriangleright Q[i, j, k] = \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

Recall  $Q[i, j, k]$  it is the minimum cost of any path with **at most k edges** between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ .

Similarly,  $Q[i, j, k - 1]$  is the minimum cost of any path with at most  $k-1$  edges between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ .

We need to show:

1.  $Q[i, j, k] \leq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$
2.  $Q[i, j, k] \geq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$

## Solution to problem 4: Correctness for recursion

We begin by showing

$$Q[i, j, k] \leq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Let  $P$  be a minimum cost path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  using at most  $k$  edges.

Hence,  $Q[i, j, k] = \text{Cost}(P)$ .

So, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\} \\ &= Q[i, r^*, k - 1] + Q[r^*, j, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $P_1$  be the path in  $G$  from  $v_i$  to  $v_{r^*}$  of length at most  $k - 1$  achieving cost  $Q[i, r^*, k - 1]$ , and let  $P_2$  be the path in  $G$  from  $v_{r^*}$  to  $v_j$  of length 1 achieving cost  $Q[r^*, j, 1]$ .

Let  $W$  be the concatenation of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

Note  $\text{Cost}(W) = \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ .

## Solution to problem 4: Correctness for recursion

Recall that  $W$  is the concatenation of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , and that  $W$  is a walk from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  satisfying

$$\text{Cost}(W) = \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

If  $W$  is a path (no repeated vertices), then we are done.

If  $W$  has repeated vertices, the only possibility is that  $v_j \in P_1$ .

Thus, let  $P'_1$  be the path obtained by truncating  $P_1$  at  $v_j$ .

Then note:

$$Q[i, j, k] \leq \text{Cost}(P'_1) < \text{Cost}(P_1) < \text{Cost}(W)$$

## Solution to problem 4: Correctness for recursion

We have shown that  $Q[i, j, k]$ , the cost of a minimum cost path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  containing at most  $k$  edges, is at most  $\min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ .

In other words, we have proven that

$$Q[i, j, k] \leq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

## Solution to problem 4: Correctness for recursion

We now prove by contradiction that

$$Q[i, j, k] \geq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Suppose that

$$Q[i, j, k] < \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

In other words, suppose that the minimum cost path  $P$  from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  using at most  $k$  edges satisfies

$$\text{Cost}(P) < \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

We will prove this cannot happen, by showing we can pick the vertex  $v_{r^*}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cost}(P) &= Q[i, j, k] = Q[i, r^*, k - 1] + Q[r^*, j, 1] \\ &\geq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

## Solution to problem 4: Correctness for recursion

We have two cases:

- ▶  $P$  uses fewer than  $k$  edges
- ▶  $P$  uses exactly  $k$  edges.

If  $P$  uses fewer than  $k$  edges, then its cost is equal to  $Q[i, j, k - 1]$ , which is equal to  $Q[i, j, k - 1] + Q[j, j, 1]$ .

Note that setting  $r = j$  in the formula  $Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1]$  gives  $Q[i, j, k - 1] + Q[j, j, 1] = Q[i, j, k - 1]$ .

Hence, for this case,

$$\begin{aligned} Q[i, j, k] &= Q[i, j, k - 1] + Q[j, j, 1] \\ &\geq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

## Solution to problem 4: Correctness for recursion

Now assume the second case, so that  $P$  uses exactly  $k$  edges.

Since  $k > 1$ , there is a vertex  $v_{r^*}$  immediately preceding  $v_j$ .

Hence,  $P$  can be written as the concatenation of two paths  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  where  $P_1$  goes from  $v_i$  to  $v_{r^*}$  and  $P_2$  goes from  $v_{r^*}$  to  $v_j$ .

Note that  $P_1$  has exactly  $k - 1$  edges and  $P_2$  is a single edge.

Furthermore, since  $P$  is a minimum cost path, the cost of  $P_1$  is  $Q[i, r^*, k - 1]$ .

## Solution to problem 4: Correctness for recursion

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cost}(P) &= Q[i, r^*, k - 1] + Q[r^*, j, 1] \\ &\geq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, when the minimum cost path  $P$  between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  has exactly  $k$  edges,

$$\text{Cost}(P) \geq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$



## Solution to problem 4: Correctness for recursion

We have shown that for all minimum cost paths  $P$  from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  using at most  $k$  edges,

$$\text{Cost}(P) \geq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

and

$$\text{Cost}(P) \leq \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Hence,

$$\text{Cost}(P) = \min\{Q[i, r, k - 1] + Q[r, j, 1] : r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Hence, the recursion is correct.

## Solution to problem 4: Where is the final output?

**How do we use the different  $Q[i, j, k]$  values to compute the  $n \times n$  matrix  $D$  of pairwise distances?**

Note that the minimum cost of any path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  uses at most  $n - 1$  edges.

Hence,  $D[i, j] = Q[i, j, n - 1]$  for all  $i, j$ .

Therefore, we return the submatrix  $Q[i, j, n - 1]$ , letting  $i, j$  vary.

## Solution to problem 4: Running Time

The algorithm computes all  $Q[i, j, 1]$  using the base case rules.

Then it computes all  $Q[i, j, k]$  for  $k \geq 2$ , starting with  $k = 2$  and increasing  $k$ , until  $k = n - 1$ .

The running time to compute  $Q[i, j, k]$  after the previous elements have been computed is easily seen to be  $O(n)$ .

There are  $O(n^3)$  entries to compute, so this is an  $O(n^4)$  algorithm.